

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING
SALES PRACTICE AND PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

) Case No. 3:19-MD-2913-WHO
)
) The Honorable William H. Orrick
)
) **ORDER GRANTING JLI'S MOTION TO**
) **DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR**
) **FAILURE TO SUBMIT DISCOVERY**
) **REQUIRED BY CASE MANAGEMENT**
) **ORDER NO. 8**
)
) **Dkt. Nos. 1086, 1102, 1121, 1136, 1161, 1171**
) **and 1178**
)
) This Document Relates To: All Actions
) The Hon. William H. Orrick


*This Document Relates to All Plaintiffs
Identified in Exhibit A*

1 Before the Court are the Motions by Defendant Juul Labs, Inc. to Dismiss the Complaints filed
2 by All Plaintiffs Identified in Exhibit A (the “Motion to Dismiss”) (ECF Nos. 1086, 1102, 1121, 1136,
3 1161, 1171 and 1178) in the above referenced, consolidated actions. Having considered the motion
4 and arguments of the parties, Dkt. No. 1373, and with good cause appearing therefore, the Court
5 hereby GRANTS the Motion to Dismiss and DISMISSES the Complaints filed by all plaintiffs
6 identified in Exhibit A without prejudice.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: February 22, 2021

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



The Honorable William H. Orrick
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

<u>Count</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Counsel</u>	<u>Date MTD Filed</u>	<u>MTD ECF Number</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>Shelton, Nikki Christine</u>	<u>Nikki Christine</u> <u>Shelton v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al</u>	<u>3:20-cv-04137</u>	<u>Frazer PLC</u>	<u>10/29/2020</u>	<u>1102</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>Strock, Anastasia</u>	<u>Anastasia Strock v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.</u>	<u>3:20-cv-04258</u>	<u>Simmons Hanly Conroy, LLC</u>	<u>10/29/2020</u>	<u>1102</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>Moncsko, Zachary</u>	<u>Zachary</u> <u>Moncsko v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.</u>	<u>3:20-cv-04260</u>	<u>Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton, LLP</u>	<u>10/29/2020</u>	<u>1102</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>Cramer, Coby</u>	<u>Coby Cramer v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.</u>	<u>3:20-cv-04295</u>	<u>Pulaski Kherkher, PLLC</u>	<u>10/29/2020</u>	<u>1102</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>DeShong, Jason</u>	<u>Jason DeShong v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.</u>	<u>3:20-cv-03991</u>	<u>Beasley Allen Crow Methvin Portis & Miles, LLC</u>	<u>12/04/2020</u>	<u>1171</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>Phifer, Ian</u>	<u>Ian Phifer v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.</u>	<u>3:20-cv-04858</u>	<u>Beasley Allen Crow Methvin Portis & Miles, LLC</u>	<u>12/04/2020</u>	<u>1171</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>Dudley, Joshua</u>	<u>Joshua Dudley v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.</u>	<u>3:20-cv-05266</u>	<u>Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.</u>	<u>12/04/2020</u>	<u>1171</u>

1	8	<u>Vecchioni,</u> <u>Connor</u>	<u>Connor</u> <u>Vecchioni v. Juul</u> <u>Labs, Inc. et al</u>	3:20-cv- 05143	<u>Levin, Papantonio,</u> <u>Thomas, Mitchell,</u> <u>Rafferty &</u> <u>Proctor, P.A.</u>	<u>12/04/2020</u>	<u>1171</u>
5	9	<u>Grimes,</u> <u>Charles</u>	<u>Charles Grimes</u> <u>v. Juul Labs, Inc.</u> <u>et al.</u>	3:20-cv- 05268	<u>Levin, Papantonio,</u> <u>Thomas, Mitchell,</u> <u>Rafferty & Proctor</u> <u>P.A.</u>	<u>12/10/2020</u>	<u>1178</u>

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28